

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/763,682	BJERKVIG, ROLF	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jon Eric Angell	1635	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Jon Eric Angell.

(3) _____.

(2) Jane Inglese.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 December 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

30

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet


Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants representative (Jane Inglese) was contacted and asked to review claim 30 to determine if the claim further limited the claims. Applicants representative reviewed the claim and indicated in a telephone message that Applicants agreed to have claim 30 cancelled by examiners amendment in the interest of compact prosecution..


DAVE TRONG NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER